Mr. Cliff Guffey
Certified Mail Tracking Number:
President 70112000000271883875
American Postal Workers
Union, AFL-CIO
1300 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-4128

## Dear Cliff:

As information, enclosed is a copy of the first Post Implementation Review for the Stockton, California Processing \& Distribution Center (P\&DC) Area Mail Processing (AMP).

If you have any questions, please contact Rickey Dean at extension 7412.
Sincerely,


Patrick M. Devine
Manager
Contract Administration (APWU)
Enclosure

## ----- PIR Data Entry Page

## 1. Losing Facility Information

Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating Facility Name \& Type: Stockton P\&DC

Street Address: 313 Arch Airport Rd.
City: Stockton
State: CA
5D Facility ZIP Code: 95213
District: Sacramento
Area: Pacific
Finance Number: 057526
Current 3D ZIP Code(s): 952,953
Miles to Gaining Facility: 59.2 miles
EXFC office: Yes
Plant Manager: Leticia Meza
Senior Plant Manager: Barbara J. Plunkett
District Manager: Alfred Santos

## Gaining Facility Information

Facility Name \& Type: Sacramento P\&DC
Street Address: 3775 Industrial Blvd.
City: West Sacramento
State: CA
5D Facility ZIP Code: 95799
District: Sacramento
Area: Pacific
Finance Number: 056679
Current 3D ZIP Code(s): 942,956-959
EXFC office: Yes
Plant Manager: Barbara J. Plunkett
Senior Plant Manager: Barbara J. Plunkett
District Manager: Alfred Santos

## 3. Background Information

Approval Date: May 23, 2011
Implementation Date: Oct-01-2011
PIR Type: 1st PIR
Date Range of Data:
Oct-01-2011: Mar-31-2012
Processing Days per Year: 310
Bargaining Unit Hours per Year: 1,750
EAS Hours per Year: 1,825
Date of HQ memo, DAR Factors/Cost of Borrowing' New Facility Start-up Costs Update

Date \& Time this workbook was last saved:

May 7, 2010

07-25-2012 11:07
4. Other Information

Area Vice President: Drew Aliperto
Vice President, Network Operations: David E. Williams
Area AMP Coordinator: Ali Mozaffari
NAI Contact: Barbara Brewington

## Approval Signatures
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## HEAROUARTERS

Vice President, Network Operstions:

Cemmeras: $\qquad$

## Executive Summary

Last Saved: July 25, 2012
Date Range of Data
PIR Type: 1st PIR
Oct-01-2011 - Mar-31-2012

| Losing Facility Name and Type: | Stockton P\&DC |
| :---: | :---: |
| Street Address: | 313 Arch Airport Rd. |
| City: | Stockton |
| State: | CA |
| Current SCF ZIP Code(s): | 952,953 |
| Type of Distribution Consolidated: | Originating |
| Gaining Facility Name and Type: | Sacramento P\&DC |
| Street Address: | 3775 Industrial Blvd. |
| City: | West Sacramento |
| State: | CA |
| Current SCF ZIP Code(s): | 942,956-959 |

## Summary of Worwheeds

## Savings/Costs




## Summary Narrative

Last Saved: July 25, 2012

| Losing Facility Name and Type: | Stockton P\&DC |
| ---: | :--- |
| Current SCF ZIP Code(s): | 952,953 |
| Type of Distribution Consolidated: | Originating |
|  |  |
| Gaining Facility Name and Type: | Sacramento P\&DC |
| Current SCF ZIP Code(s): | $942,956-959$ |

## Background

The Sacramento Performance Cluster, with the assistance from the Pacific Area Office, has completed an Area Mail Processing (AMP) Post Implementation Review (PIR) to measure the success of relocating Originating SCF 952-953 mail volumes from the Stockton, CA P\&DC for processing at the Sacramento, CA P\&DC. The AMP proposal encompassed mail processing for the ZIP Code range of 952-953. The AMP was approved on May 23, 2011.

The Stockton, CA P\&DC is a postal owned facility that processed Originating and Destinating mail for the SCF 952-953 area. The Sacramento, CA P\&DC is an owned facility occupied by the USPS since 1985. With the approved AMP, all SCF 952-953 Originating processes in the Stockton, CA P\&DC were transferred to the Sacramento, CA P\&DC. The Stockton, CA P\&DC is approximately 59.2 miles from the Sacramento, CA P\&DC.

## Financial Summary

Financial savings, versus pre-AMP operations, identified during this first PIR for the consolidation of Originating operations are:

| Total First Year Savings* | $\mathbf{( \$ 4 , 0 2 8 , 5 4 9 )}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Annual Savings | $\mathbf{( \$ 3 , 9 5 0 , 3 2 5 )}$ |

* This includes additional FSS costs at the Sacramento P\&DC of $\$ 2,103,606$ for Function 1. Additionally a full AMP of the Marysville P\&DF occurred on 10/01/2010 and the Pre AMP data was extracted for the time periods of 07/01/2009-06/30/2010.

Combined Losing and Gaining Facility Data:

| Function 1 Workhour Savings | 1st PIR vs Pre AMP $(\$ 1,381,867)$ | 1st PIR vs Approved $(\$ 2,355,554)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Processing Craft Workhour Savings (less Maint/Trans) | $(\$ 235,525)$ | $(\$ 235,489)$ |
| PCES/EAS Workhour Savings | $(\$ 573,293)$ | $(\$ 846,537)$ |
| Transportation Savings | $(\$ 636,052)$ | $(\$ 455,308)$ |
| Maintenance Savings | $(\$ 1,123,587)$ | (\$1,194,830) |
| Space Savings | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Annual Savings | $(\$ 3,950,325)$ | $(\$ 5,087,718)$ |
| Total One-Time Costs | $(\$ 78,224)$ | \$105,558 |
| Total First Year Savings | $(\$ 4,028,549)$ | $(\$ 4,982,160)$ |

[^0]

Gaining Facility: Sacramento P\&DC
District: Sacramento


The Sacramento leadership is aware of the lower than expected service scores and are placing additional focus on increasing the performance of all categories listed above.

## Transportation Changes

The total transportation initiatives and impacts, post-AMP, cost an additional $\$ 455,308$ in transportation costs while ensuring operating plan performance preserved timely processing, and distribution of ail Originating volumes between the Sacramento P\&DC and the Stockton P\&DC. Although a majority of the HCRs did not have a mileage increase there were increases in the cost per mileage thereby increasing overall costs. This is not a direct result of the AMP.

| Total Transportation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (15) | (16) |
|  | 1st PIR vs Pre AMP | 1st PIR vs Proposed |
| HCR | \$684,702 | \$503,958 |
| PVS | $(\$ 48,650)$ | (\$48,650) |

## SCR's:

HCR 95230, SCR 0001465272, trips $9 / 10$ correct trip frequency. Non-AMP service change.
HCR 95239, SCR 00172973, Non-Amp service change, closed AO EI Viejo, terminated contract 953A7, added remaining trips to HCR 95239.
HCR 95213, SCR 000182506, Moved Priority Mail processing from Stockton P\&DC to the Sacramento
P\&DC. The original AMP proposal was to process First Class letters \& flats, adding Priority Mail increased transportation cost.

Contract Award:
HCR 952WU (emergency service) was awarded as HCR 95210 regular service. No change to annual mileage.

Routes Terminated for Convenience:
HCR 95237 was terminated for convenience and awarded as HCR 925L2. No change to annual mileage

## Staffing Impacts

Projections from the AMP study forecasted a net reduction of 24 craft employees with Stockton losing 30 and Sacramento gaining 6 positions, with a net increase of 8 EAS employees. The PIR study identified a net reduction of 8 craft employees with Stockton losing 41 and Sacramento gaining 33 positions, with a net reduction of 10 EAS employees between both facilities. Once the letter and flat products were moved from Stockton, it was determined that moving the outgoing parcel product as well would help streamline the flow between the Stockton delivery units and the Sacramento plant. In order to accomplish the shift of outgoing parcel volumes to the Sacramento P\&DC, an additional crew was added on the Automated Parcel Bundle Sorter. Furthermore, as noted in the financial summary the deployment of FSS was not included in the workhour estimates in the approved AMP proposal. The destinating AMP of the Marysville P\&DF was also not included in the workhour estimates and this represented 21 full time and 2 part time flexible mail handler positions.

The staffing impacts on management-to-craft ratios are summarized below.

Management and Craft Staffing Impacts

|  | 3tuetruy |  |  | stexturive |  |  | Ye, elt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total PreAMP OnRolls | Total on Rolls PIR | Difference | Total PreAMP OnRolls | Total on Rolls PIR | Difference |  |
| Craft ${ }^{1}$ | 366 | 325 | (41) | 1,320 | 1,353 | 33 | (8) |
| Management | 25 | 17 | (8) | 84 | 82 | (2) | (10) |

Craft $=$ FTR + PTR + PTF + Casuals

The staffing impacts on management-to-craft ratios are summarized below.

## Mail Processing Management to Craft Ratio

| Montsamon to erant raves | GTAME |  | curent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDOs to Craft ${ }_{1}$ (1:25 target) | MDOs+SDOs to Craft, (1:22 target) | SDOs to Craft ${ }_{1}$ (1:25 target) | MDOs + SDOs to Craft ${ }_{1}$ (1:22 target) |
| Stockton | 1:26 | 1:22 | 1:36 | 1:28 |
| Sacramento | 1:27 | 1:23 | 1:31 | 1:27 |

${ }^{1}$ Craft $=$ FTR + PTR + PTF + Casuals
${ }^{2}$ Craft $=F 1$ only

All affected employees that were reassigned to other Postal facilities were subject to processes outlined in the National Labor Agreements. See other issues and concurrent initiatives at the end of this summary narrative.

## Equipment Relocation and Maintenance Impacts:

Originating letter mail was cancelled in Stockton, CA on four (4) advanced facer canceller systems (AFCS) with an average daily volume of 257,400 pieces. One of these AFCS machines was relocated to the Sacramento P\&DC. Three AFCS' are excess to the needs of the Sacramento District however the excessing procedure has not been completed to scrap the machines.

Maintenance costs increased in several LDCs due to the following factors:
LDC 36
Sacramento P\&DC increased staffing due to the deployment of FSS. Additionally one (1) AFCS was relocated to the Sacramento P\&DC under this AMP proposal. Under a previous AMP proposal into Sacramento from the Marysville P\&DF, 1 AFSM100, 7 DBCS and 3 DIOSS machines were relocated to the facility.

## LDC 37

There was an increase to the staffing at the FMO department at the Sacramento P\&DC. Since the Stockton P\&DC is still occupied building maintenance is still required. Hours were also used for FSS site prep, electrical/air upgrades for relocation of DBCS, AFSMs, LCREM, and LCTS. Hours were also used for remodeling the District Managers office, as well as the MOPS, Statistical Programs, and Transportation offices. Article $7 / 12$ people were used to perform customer lock changes. They also relocated the CFS, Postage Due, Registry and Express units and removed the LMLM.

## LDC 38

Sacramento, CA P\&DC added additional space due to the facility expansion which increased required staffing.

## Space Impacts:

The total interior footage of the Stockton, CA P\&DC is 165,500 sq. ft . With the approved AMP, the expected gain of 7.000 sq . ft from the excessing of the loose mall system, ventilation filtration system, bio detection system and the AFCS'. It is expected that operations from the Modesto CSF will be relocated to fill this space.

## Other Issues and Concurrent Initiatives:

The Pre-AMP data collected for this AMP study was generated from July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010. Since then the facility has added an FSS operation, Originating and Destinating operations from the Marysville P\&DF as well as equipment deployments and updates including the low cost reject encoding machine (LCREM) and automated package bundie sorter (APBS).

To date, 0 bargaining unit employees have received relocation funds from this AMP. The estimated $\$ 55,147$ allocated in the original AMP study has not been used yet. Sacramento P\&DC also completed a tour compression, a significant facility expansion and absorbed operations and positions from both the Stryker Surface Transfer Center (STC) as well as Destinating operations from the Royal Oak DDC.

## mplementation Plan

The implementation plan anticipated movement of the 952-953 Originating operations at the Stockton P\&DC to the Sacramento, CA P\&DC within 90 days of the approval of the AMP proposal. The AMP proposal was approved on May 23, 2011 and implementation of mail processing operations at Sacramento P\&DC was completed on October 1, 2011.

## Summary

The Sacramento District's AMP of all Originating mail for ZIP Code 952-953 has realized savings by eliminating duplication in mail processing operations, allied operations and maintenance costs, and cost-avoidance by reducing future equipment deployments at the losing installation. While the data indicates a loss in Function 1 work hours and cost, it should be pointed out that equipment deployments such as FSS and other AMP projects such as the Marysville AMP occurred subsequent to the data collection period and the approval of this AMP.

PIR Type:
Implementation Date:
1st PIR 10/01/11

Losing Facility: Stockton P\&DC
District: Sacramento

|  |  | EXFCOID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal Quarter | Overnight <br> Percentage | 2 Day Percentage | 3 Day Percentage |
| Before AMP | Q1 2011 | 95.85\% | 93.81\% | 90.24\% |
|  | Q2 2011 | 97.20\% | 95.31\% | 89.95\% |
|  | Q3 2011 | 96.60\% | 96.61\% | 92.81\% |
|  | Q4 2011 | 96.34\% | 96.61\% | 91.99\% |
| After AM/P | Q1 2012 | 95.57\% | 93.36\% | 87.16\% |
|  | Q2 2012 | 96.29\% | 96.11\% | 91.11\% |
|  | Q3 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | Q4 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | 3, |  |  |  |

Gaining Facility: Sacramento P\&DC
District: Sacramento

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal Quarter | Overnight Percentage | 2 Day Percentage | 3 Day Percentage |
| Before AMP | Q1 2011 | 95.66\% | 94.81\% | 92.77\% |
|  | Q2 2011 | 96.86\% | 94.98\% | 91.21\% |
|  | Q3 2011 | 95.98\% | 95.65\% | 92.64\% |
|  | Q4 2011 | 96.12\% | 96.24\% | 92.72\% |
| After AMP | Q1 2012 | 96.00\% | 94.16\% | 90.00\% |
|  | Q2 2012 | 97.37\% | 95.65\% | 92.22\% |
|  | Q3 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | Q4 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | . |  | , |  |

(15) Notes: $\qquad$ $\longrightarrow$

| QEMM Q2 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question \# | Residential Top <br> Two Box | Sm/Med Bus <br> Top Two Box |
| Q1 | $88.38 \%$ | $85.83 \%$ |
| Q4a | $91.11 \%$ | $88.32 \%$ |
| Q8a | $90.15 \%$ | $86.46 \%$ |
| Q12a | $85.36 \%$ | $79.12 \%$ |
| Q16a | $61.97 \%$ | $52.21 \%$ |
| Q19 | $85.10 \%$ | $81.18 \%$ |

Customer Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) became Customer Experience Measurement 2010. Data reflects most recently completed quarter available in CEM.

Overall Satisfaction (Overall Experience)
Satisfaction with Receiving (Experience with receiving) Satisfaction with Sending (Experience with sending)
Satisfaction with most frequently visited PO (Experience with most frequently visited PO ) Satisfaction with most recent contact with USPS (Experience with most recent contact with Likely to recommend the USPS
-




| (1) |  |  |  | Ammue TPH Or NaTPH Votume |  |  | Anmulw Workhours |  |  | Ammua Prosestavity |  |  | Anmuan Wornhour Cois |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Preamp | Propome | ${ }_{13 t}$ PIR | Preasf | Propoed | tr Pr | freamp | proposed | 14 PlP | Preant | Propared | 1st PR | Pramp | Propouses | twP1R |
|  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - ${ }^{30}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  | [.\% ${ }^{50}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | $\pm$ | $\square$ |  | 5 |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | - |  | \$0 |
|  | - |  |  | - 4 |  |  | - | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  | F-30 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | $\cdots \longrightarrow$ |  |  | ] |  | - 2 |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | [ | $\longrightarrow$ - 0 |  | - |  |  | - ${ }^{50}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\underline{4}$ |  |  | -. | - |  | - |  |  | $\underline{-20}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | - | $\cdots$ |  | - |  |  | - ${ }^{-50}$ |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  | T-0 |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | 4.4 |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | T | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | - |  | 50 |
|  | - |  |  | 5 |  |  | S | Y. 0 |  | T |  |  | -5icm |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - | $\longrightarrow$ - |  | -4. |  |  | -240 |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - | $\cdots$ |  | - |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  | \% 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | - $0^{30}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 0 |  | - |  |  | 4 m |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  | ¢ |  |  | CW | $\longrightarrow \longrightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - -2 |  |  | - | $\triangle \sim$ |  | -5 |  |  | $\sim$ [ ${ }_{50}$ |  | 50 |
|  | - 2 |  |  | 4-9 |  |  | S | - |  | . |  |  | - |  | S0 |
|  | \% |  |  | - |  |  | W\% | $\square 9$ |  | 4.3 |  |  | $\underline{4}$ |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | [20 |  |  | \% |  |  | . |  |  | 2\% sim |  |  |
|  | , |  |  | [5] |  |  | - | 0 |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | \% |  |  | - |  |  | - | $\cdots$ |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | \% | Cu, 0 |  | - |  |  | $\square 50$ |  |  |
|  | \% |  |  | - |  |  | 4 | $\longrightarrow \square$ |  | - |  |  | [... 30 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | - |  |  | $\underline{-50}$ |  |  |
|  | 4 m |  |  | -2 |  |  | - | - $\rightarrow$ - 0 |  | - |  |  | (-2) 50 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - -2 |  |  | + | $\bigcirc$ |  | - |  |  | [ |  | 50 |
|  | $1$ |  |  | T. |  |  | - | - 0 |  | - |  |  | -. |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 4 | $\sim$ - 0 |  | - |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 2! | $\cdots$ |  | 4 H |  |  | 3 sel |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | - -0 |  |  | ㄴ..… | $\cdots$ |  | + |  |  | [-350 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 5\% | $\longrightarrow \longrightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | [ ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | ERem | - |  | - |  |  | $4{ }^{30}$ |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | - 0 |  |  | - | $\sim \sim$ |  | [-2 |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4=4$ | C. 0 |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | W30 | - |  | 5, \% |  |  | 4 |  |  |
|  | 4.4 |  |  | - 9 |  |  |  | [.]. 0 |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | [- | $\cdots$ |  | 4 |  |  | $\underline{4}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  | $\square \longrightarrow$ ? |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 C |  |  | \% | $\square$ |  | [ |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | - ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | [. | प C - 9 |  | - |  |  | \% |  |  |
|  | \% |  |  | - |  |  |  | $\triangle \sim$ |  | 1-3 |  |  | $\underline{50}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  | - | $\cdots$ |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | [-20 |  |  | 4 | $\cdots$ |  | 4-4. |  |  | 5 |  |  |
|  | +4 |  |  | [ $\mathrm{Ma}_{0}$ |  |  | \% | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 5-4 | $\because \sim$ |  | - 4 |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - 0 |  |  | $\underline{3}$ | C-a |  | W2\% |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | - |  |  | T+4. | [ |  | 4, |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  | -W\% |  |  | , | $\bigcirc$ |  | 4 |  |  | -3. ${ }^{89}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | +30 |  | 50 |
|  | 4,4 |  |  | - $\mathrm{S}^{0}$ |  |  | - \% | $\longrightarrow 0$ |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | -3. 80 |  | 50 |
| Adi/adi |  |  |  | - 30 |  |  |  |  |  | Wer nocar |  |  |  |  |  |
| rotals | 2,143,412478 | 2149,412.47 | 2,297,628,430 | 4,4033697988 | 3,103,300,706 | 0,837,023,842 | 20200457 | 1,905,377 | 2,050,199 | [5, 3885 | 2,598) | 3,335 | 644,026,533 | 583,052,895 | \$85,408,450 |
|  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{-3}$ |  |  | P |  |  | $\square$ | cos |
|  |  | 2 mcos Anmue FHPV |  | Crame varm |  | TPH Volume |  |  |  |  | Varincos Ammal Proxic | xtivity |  |  | ${ }^{46} \cos 8$ |
|  | Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { tapan raposin } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (18) } \\ & \hline 1 \text { Priverapoed } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Change Analys is | $\begin{gathered} (19) \\ \text { Ta PAR PA P AMP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{(20)}{(20)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { Anarysis } \end{aligned}$ | , (12) |  | Change Analysis | $\begin{gathered} \text { (23) } \\ \text { 4.t Prive Pre Amp } \end{gathered}$ | 12: PIR vi Proposed | Change |  | (20) 1 at P报 ve Proposenc |
|  | Units | 154,215,052 | 154,216,962 | Units | ${ }^{\text {1.8653.642.786 }}$ | 1,063,642,786 | Units | 29.742 | 54,822 | Unts | 769 | 737 | Units | \$1,381,367 | \$2,355,554 |
|  | Parcent | 7.2\% | 7.2\% | Percent | 31.9\% | 319\% | Percomt | 1.5\% | 2.7\% | Perrent | 30.0\% | 28.476 | Parcent | 1.6\% | 2.8\% |

(87) NOTES:




Gaining Facility: Sacramento PSDC

Type of Distribution Consolidated $\qquad$ Originating

100
0.980

TI
$\begin{array}{r}481 \\ \hline 481609 \\ \hline 271 \\ \hline 27104 \\ \hline\end{array}$

| $\frac{27 \text { Idup }}{}$ |
| :---: |
| 481dup |
| 41diup |


| 481dup |
| :---: |
| 481 lam |
| 818 |
| 8 |


| 818 |
| :---: |
| 468 |
| 776 |
| 891 |


| 776 |
| ---: |
| 891 |
| 892 |
| 8 |



| $-\frac{44}{051}$ |
| :---: |
| 074 |
| 100 | | 100 |
| :---: |
| 109 |
| 110 |

$\frac{110}{112}$
$\frac{114}{112}$

| 112 |
| :--- |
| 114 |
| 117 |


| 177 |
| :--- |
| 124 |
| 126 |
| 1 |


| 124 |
| :--- |
| 134 |
| 136 |
| 137 |


| 13, |
| :---: |
| 439 |
| 139 |


| 138 |
| :--- |
| 140 |
| 144 |


| 144 |
| :--- |
| 145 |
| 148 |


| 180 |
| :---: |
| 160 |
| 188 |

$\begin{array}{r}180 \\ \hline 189 \\ \hline\end{array}$
18



| (1) <br> Operation Number |  |  |  | (5) $\quad$ Annum TPH or NATPH Volume |  |  | (8) |  |  | (11) $\underset{\text { Annuat Proctuetivty }}{\text { and }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | preamp | Propowd | ${ }_{13 t}$ PIR | preamp | propowed | Tst PIR | Preanp | Propomes | tstPIR | pre Amp | Propound | - Prir | premp | Propomed | 1*PER |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | , | Ho Calk |  | - 50 |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | 0. |  | 4 | No calc |  | so |  | 50 |
| AGI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rotals | 1,564,039,595 1,474,476,705 $1,820,732,378$ |  |  | 3,02435,067 $390,041,176$ |  |  | 1495:807 | 1521,468- 1,602,161 |  | 2476 , 2,582 |  | 3,852 | \$622827114 | \$83,410,967 | \$66,799,128 |
|  |  | $\longrightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | S |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Varamear Amumit Th or NATPH Votume |  |  | Varimices Annual Werkhiours |  |  | Varameat Anmua Productivity |  |  | Verinimee Anmual Workhour Cost |  |  |
|  | Change Annlysis | $\begin{gathered} (17) \\ \text { un Pran Prative } \end{gathered}$ | (10) <br> THP PR wa Froposed | Change Analysis | ${ }_{\text {an provi proAup }}^{(199}$ | tepreveroporad | Changa Analysis | Tat previ proans |  | Change Analysis | $\operatorname{cognap}_{(23)}$ | (24) <br> $t=$ PMe $n$ Proposed | Change Anaty | (25) <br> 14t PWR ve PTe ALP | (26) <br> 14 PWR va Proposed |
|  | Units | 256,700,783 | $148,055.873$ | Units | 1,987,937,047 | 1.760,783,839 | Units | 106,356 | 80,303 | Units | \%,076 | 970 | Units | \$4,516,417 | \$3,388,171 |
|  | Parcent | 16.4\% | 8.7\% | Percornt | 53.7\% | 44.8\% | Percent | 7.1\% | 5.3\% | Percent | 4.5\% | 37.5\% | Percent | 7.3\% | 5.3\% |

(27) NOTES: $\qquad$




All Supervisory Workhours
Gaining Facility



Workhours for Losing LDCs Common to \& Shared between Supv \& Craft



Distribution to Other PIR Worksheet Tabs


Maintenance - Losing


Supervisor Summary - Losing

| Annual Workhours |  |  |  | Annual Workhour Cost (\$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 LDC | Preamp | Proposed | 1 st PR | Houry Dollar Cost | Pre AMP | Proposed | 4 st Pir |
| 01 |  |  |  |  | \$84,973 | \$84,973 | \$96,284 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | \$1,509,536 | \$1,143,982 | \$1,337,897 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  | \$94,919 | \$94,919 | \$99,812 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  | \$569,219 | \$569,219 | \$515,205 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  | 80 | \$0 | $\$ 0$ |
| 50 |  |  |  |  | s0 | \$0 | 50 |
| 60 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | 50 | \$0 |
| 70 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 80 |  |  |  |  | \$132,483 | \$132,463 | \$128,565 |
| 81 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 88 |  |  |  |  | 50 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Totals | 46,1767 | 38.819 | 39,386 | 5useme | \$2,391,110 | \$2,025,556 | \$2,177.762 |



Supervisory - Gaining

| Annual Workhours |  |  |  | Annual Workhour Cost (\$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LDC | Pre Amp | Proposed | 1st ifin | Houty Dollar cast | Pre AMP | Proposed | tst PIR |
| 01 |  |  |  |  | \$106,152 | \$106,152 | \$115,697 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | \$4,293,226 | 84,385,536 | \$4,720,808 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | so | 50 | \$0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  | \$1,035,461 | \$1,035,461 | \$985,810 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  | \$1,945,887 | \$1,945,887 | \$2,363,277 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  | so | \$0 | \$0 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  | S0 | $\$ 0$ | \$0 |
| 60 |  |  |  |  | SO | $\$ 0$ | \$0 |
| 70 |  |  |  |  | SO | 50 | 50 |
| 80 |  |  |  |  | \$176,263 | \$176,263 | \$158,035 |
| 81 |  |  |  |  | S0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 88 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | 80 | \$0 |
| Totals | 145,216] | 147,040 | 155.427 |  | \$7,556,987 | \$7,649,297 | \$8,343,628 |





## Staffing - Craft

Last Saved: July 25, 2012
PIR Type: 1st PIR
Data Extraction Date: 05/07/12

Losing Facility: Stockton P\&DC
Finance \#: 057526

| Craft Positions | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | 16 | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Casual/PSE On-Rolls |  | Part Time On-Rolls |  | Full Time On-Rolls |  | Total On-Rolls |  |  |
|  | Pre AMP | 1st PIR | Pre AMP | 1st PIR | Pre AMP | 1st PIR | Pre AMP | Proposed | 1st PIR |
| Function 1-Clerk | 14 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 142 | 174 | 162 | 155 |
| Function 4 - Clerk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Function 1 - Mail Handler | 5 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 99 | 82 | 115 | 100 | 96 |
| Function 4 - Mail Handler | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Function 3A - Vehicle Service | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Function 3B - Maintenance | - 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 | 64 | 58 | 64 | 61 | 58 |
| Functions 67-69-Lmtd/Rehab/WC |  | - | - 1 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| Other Functions | - 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | $\underline{4}$ | 7 | 7 |
| Total | 19 | 21 | - $=12$ | 6 | 335 | 298 | 366 | 336 | 325 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Varia | es Total O | Rolls |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Change <br> Analysis | $\begin{gathered} \text { (10) } \\ \text { 1st PIR vs } \\ \text { Pre AMP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1st PIR vs Proposed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Positions | (41) | (11) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | -11\% | -3.3\% |



Gaining Facility: Sacramento P\&DC
Finance \#: 056679

| Craft Positions | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Casual/PSE On-Rolls |  | Part Time On-Rolls |  | Full Time On-Rolls |  | Total On-Rolls |  |  |
|  | Pre AMP | 1st PIR | Pre AMP | 1st PIR | Pre AMP | 1st PIR | Pre AMP | Proposed | 1st PIR |
| Function 1-Clerk | 0 | 61 | - | 0 | 480 | 443 | 484 | 484 | 504 |
| Function 1 - Mail Handler | 0 | 29 | 559 | 54 | 371 | 368 | 430 | 435 | 451 |
| Function 3A - Vehicle Service | 0 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 94 | 101 | 106 | 106 | 110 |
| Function 3B-Maintenance | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 289 | 275 | 289 | 290 | 278 |
| Functions 67-69-Lmtd/RehabMC |  | \% | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Other Functions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 102 | 75 | 54 | 1.245 | 1,197 | 1,320 | 1,326 | 1,353 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Varia | $\underbrace{}_{\text {es Total O }}$ | Rolls |
| Total Craft Position Loss: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Change Analysis | (21) <br> 1st PIR vs Pre AMP | (22) <br> 1st PIR vs Proposed |
|  |  |  | (16) |  |  |  | Positions | 33 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | 2.5\% | 2.0\% |
|  | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - numbers are carried forward to the Executive Summary) |  |  |  |  |  |  | rev 415110 |  |



| Line | PCES/EAS Positions |  | Authorized Staffing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (19) Position Title | (20) <br> Level | (4) <br> Pre Amp | $\begin{gathered} \text { (22) } \\ \text { fst PRR } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | SR PLANT MANAGER (2) | PCES-01 | 1. | 1 |
| 2 | MGR IN-PLANT SUPPORT | EAS-25 | 1 | 4 |
| 3 | MGR MAINTENANCE | EAS-25 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | MGR MAINTENANCE (LEAD) | EAS-25 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | SR MGR DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS | EAS-25 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | MGR DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS | EAS-24 | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | MGR MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS | EAS-23 | 3 | 3 |
| 8 | MGR TRANSPORTATION/NETWORKS | EAS-23 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | MGR DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS | EAS-22 | 2 | 2 |
| 10 | OPERATIONS INDUSTRIAL ENGINEER (FI | EAS-21 | 3 | 3 |
| 11 | MGR DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS | EAS-20 | 4 | 1 |
| 12 | MGR MAINT ENGINEERING SUPPORT | EAS-20 | 1. | 1 |
| 13 | MGR MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS SUPPT | EAS-20 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | OPERATIONS SUPPORT SPECIALIST | EAS-20 | 3 | 1 |
| 15 | MAINTENANCE ENGINEERING SPECIALIST | EAS-19 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | MGR FIELD MAINT OPRNS (LEAD) | EAS-19 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | MGR PVS OPERATIONS | EAS-19 | T | 1 |
| 18 | NETWORKS SPECIALIST | EAS-18 | 4 | 1 |
| 19 | OPERATIONS SUPPORT SPECIALIST | EAS-18 | 2 | 2 |
| 20 | OPERATIONS SUPPORT SPECIALIST | EAS-17 | 8 | 8 |
| 21 | SUPV DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS | EAS-17 | 38 | 37 |
| 22 | SUPV MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS | EAS-17 | 15. | 15 |
| 23 | SUPV TRANSPORTATION OPERATIONS | EAS-17 | 5. | 5 |
| 24 | NETWORKS SPECIALIST | EAS-16 | 2. | 2 |
| 25 | MAIL FLOW CONTROLLER | EAS-14 | O. | 0 |
| 26 | SECRETARY (FLD) | EAS-12 | 1 | 0 |
| 27 | ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT (FLD) | EAS-12 |  | 1 |
| 28 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 41 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 49 |  |  | Whank | 0 |
| 50 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 51 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 52 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 53 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 54 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 55 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 56 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 57 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 58 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 59 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 60 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Totals |  | 94 | 93 |



| 23) PetMar | (24) <br> Propesed | $\begin{gathered} \text { (25) } \\ \text { 1st } P\{R \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |



Transportation - PVS
Last Saved: July 25, 2012

|  | PIR Type: | 1st PIR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Range of Data: | Oct-01-2011 | - to $-\quad$ Mar-31-2012 |

Losing Facility: Stockton P\&DC
Finance Number: 057526

|  |  | (1) Pre AMP | (2) <br> Proposed | (3) <br> 1st PIR | (4) Variance 1st PIR vs Pre AMP | (5) <br> Variance 1st PIR vs Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PVS Owned Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seven Ton Trucks |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Eleven Ton Trucks |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Single Axle Tractors |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Tandem Axle Tractors |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Spotters |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| PVS Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Schedules |  | 0. | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Mileage |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total Mileage Costs |  | 50 | \$0 |  | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| PVS Leases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Vehicles Leased |  | 0. | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total Lease Costs |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LDC 31 16 | $(617,679,764)$ | \$9.699 | \$9,699 | \$0 | (59,699) | (\$9,699) |
| LDC 34 | (765, 766) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| Total | Workhour Costs | \$9,699 | \$9.699 | \$0 | (59,699) | (\$9.699) |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |

(11) Total 1st PIR vs Pre AMP Transportation-PVS Savings: $(\$ 48,650)$
(This number added to the Executive Summary

Gaining Facility: Sacramento P\&DC
Finance Number: 056679
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|r|c|r|r|r|}\hline & \text { (6) } & \text { (7) } \\ \text { Pre AMP }\end{array}\right)$
(12) Total 1st PIR vs Proposed Transportation-PVS Savings:
$(\$ 48,650)$
(This number added to the Executive Summary)
(13) Notes: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Transportation - HCR
Last Saved: July 25, 2012
Losing Facility: Stockton P\&DC
PIR Type: $\qquad$ 1st PIR Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

Data of HCR Data File: $\qquad$ CT for Outbound Dock: $\qquad$


| (5) <br> Pre AMP <br> Annual Cost |  | (7) 1st PIR Annual Cost | (8) <br> Pre AMP Annual Cost/Mile | (9) <br> Proposed Annual Cost/Mile | (10) <br> 1st PIR <br> Annual <br> Cost/Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$342,990 |  |  | \$2.30 |  |  |
| \$318,213 |  |  | \$1.67 |  |  |
| \$122,371 |  |  | \$2.26 |  |  |
| \$89,289 |  |  | \$1.70 |  |  |
| \$91,796 |  |  | \$1.67 |  |  |
| \$109,482 |  |  | \$1.76 |  |  |
| \$120,033 |  |  | \$4.13 |  |  |
| \$105,096 |  |  | \$1.86 |  |  |
| \$85,385 |  |  | \$1.71 |  |  |
| \$378,779 |  |  | \$2.40 |  |  |
| \$199,580 |  |  | \$2.46 |  |  |
| \$412,049 |  |  | \$1.74 |  |  |
| \$190,742 |  |  | \$1.54 |  |  |
| \$106,613 |  |  | \$1.75 |  |  |
| \$73,966 |  |  | \$1.91 |  |  |
| \$210,677 |  |  | \$2.08 |  |  |
| \$64,193 |  |  | \$2.42 |  |  |
| \$70,517 |  |  | \$1.65 |  |  |
| \$73,914 |  |  | \$1.72 |  |  |
| \$119,484 |  |  | \$2.05 |  |  |
| \$345,037 |  |  | \$5.51 |  |  |
| \$362,894 |  |  | \$3.44 |  |  |
| \$199,803 |  |  | \$1.38 |  |  |
| \$957,542 |  |  | \$2.17 |  |  |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIVIO! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |



## Transportation-HCR

Last Saved: July 25, 2012
Gaining Facility: Sacramento P\&DC
PIR Type: Originating
Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

CET for Inbound Dock:
CET for Cancellations:

CET for OGP:
CT for Outbound Dock: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

| (5) <br> Pre AMP Annual Cost | (6) <br> Proposed Annual Cost | (7) <br> 1st PIR Annual Cost | (8) <br> Pre AMP <br> Annual Costimile | (9) <br> Proposed Annual Cost/Mile | (10) <br> 1st PIR Annual Cost/Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  | , |  | \#DIV/0! |
| - $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$ $\$ 0$ |  |  | , |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| - $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$ $\$ 0$ |  |  | , |  | \#DIV/0: |
| - \$0 |  |  | - |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  | - |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  | - |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  | - |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$ \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$ 50 |  |  | \% |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| - 50 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0 |
| \$ \$0 |  |  | (20 |  | \#DIV/0 |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0 |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0 |
| - $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0 |
| - \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| \$ \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIVI0! |
| \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |


| 0 | 24\% 0 | 0 |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2-5 0 | 0 |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| 0 | 4. 0 | 0 |  | \$0 |  |  | * |  | \#DIV/0! |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 80 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  | \#DIVIO! |
| 0 | + | 0 |  | S0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| 0 | 4- | 0 |  | - |  |  |  |  | \#DIVIO! |
| 0 | - | 0 |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Totals | $50$ | $0$ | $0$ | 4-50 | $\$ 0$ | \$0 |  | $14$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Varia | nces Total Annual | Costs | Summ | ary HCR Losing | Gaining |
|  |  |  |  | Change Analysis | (11) <br> 1st PIR vs Pre AMP | (12) <br> 1st PIR vs Proposed |  | (13) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP | (14) <br> 1st PIR vs Proposed |
|  |  |  |  | Dollars | \$0 | \$0 | Losing | \$684,702 | \$503,958 |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | \#DIV/01 | \#DIV/0! | Gaining | \$0 | \$0 |

(13) Total 1st PIR vs Pre AMP Transportation-HCR Savings:
$\$ 684,702$
(from losing and gaining facilities)
(14) Total 1st PIR vs Proposed Transportation-HCR Savings:
$\$ 503,958$ (from losing and gaining facilities)

|  | Total Transportation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(15)$ <br> 1st PIR vs Pre <br> AMP | $(16)$ <br> 1st PIR vs Proposed |  |
| HCR | $\$ 684,702$ | $\$ 503,958$ |  |
| PVS | $(\$ 48,650)$ | $(\$ 48,650)$ |  |

(15) Total 1 st PIR vs Pre AMP Transportation (PVS \& HCR):
$\$ 636,052$
(This number carried forward to the Executive Summary)
(16) Total 1st PIR vs Proposed Transportation (PVS \& HCR):
$\$ 455,308$
(This number carried forward to the Executive Summary)

## MPE Inventory

Last Saved: July 25, 2012
Data Extraction Date: $\quad 04 / 13 / 12$
PIR Type: $\qquad$ Date Range of Data: $\qquad$ -- to - $\qquad$
Losing Facility: Stockton P\&DC
Gaining Facility: Sacramento P\&DC

| Equipment | (1) <br> Pre AMP | (2) <br> Proposed | (3) 1st PIR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AFCS | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| AFSM-ALL | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| APPS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Closs | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CSBCS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DBCS | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| DBCS-OSS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DIOSS | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| FSS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SBPS | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| UFSM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FC / MICRO MARK | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ROBOT GANTRY | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HSTS / HSUS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LCTS / LCUS | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| LIPS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MLOCR-ISS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MPBCS-OSS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TABBER | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| POWERED INDUSTRIAL VEHICLE | $9$ | 9 | 9 |
| LCREM | - |  | 1 |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | 4-3 |  |  |
|  | , |  |  |
|  | 38 | 4-34 | 38 |


| Equipment | (4) <br> Pre AMP | (5) <br> Proposed | (6) <br> 1st PIR | (7) <br> Proposed <br> Relocation Costs | (8) 1st PIR Relocation Costs | (9) <br> Variance in Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AFCS | 7 | 8 | 9 | \$24,885 | \$78,224 | \$53,339 |
| AFSM-ALL | 5 | 5 | 5 | \$15,750 | \$0 | (\$15,750) |
| APPS | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$9,000 | \$0 | (\$9,000) |
| closs | 3 | 3 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | $\$ 0$ |
| CSBCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 50 |
| DBCS | 49 | 49 | 49 | \$0 | \$0 | 80 |
| DBCS-OSS | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 50 |
| DIOSS | 9 | 9 | 10 | \$0 | \$0 | 50 |
| FSS | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | 30 |
| SBPS | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | $\$ 0$ |
| UFSM | 1 | 1 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FC / MICRO MARK | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| ROBOT GANTRY | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| HSTS/HSUS | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| LCTS / LCUS | 6 | 6 | 6 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| LIPS | 0 | 0 | 0 | So | \$0 | \$0 |
| MLOCR-ISS | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| MPBCS-OSS | 0 | 0 | 0 | so | \$0 | 40 |
| TABBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | So | \$0 | $\$ 0$ |
| POWERED INDUSTRIAL VEHICLE | $37$ | 37 | 37 | \$0 | \$0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LCREM | - |  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 119 | 120 | 124 | \$49,635 | \$78,224 | \$28,589 |

## (10) Notes:

The 10th DIOSS was not turned on at the Sacramento P\&DC until the peak season to help with the increased 481 volumes.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Space Evaluation and } \\
\text { Other Costs }
\end{gathered}
$$

[^1]
## Maintenance <br> Last Saved: July 25,2012

Losing Facility: Stockton P\&DC

|  | Losing Facility:Workhour Activity | Stockton P\&DC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) <br> Pre AMP Costs | (2) <br> Proposed Costs |  | (3) <br> 1st PIR <br> Costs | (4) <br> Variance 1st <br> PIR to Pre <br> AMP |  | (5) <br> Variance ist <br> PIR to <br> Proposed |
| LDC 36 | Mail Processing Equipment | 27959143 | \$ 2655,386 | \$ | 2,581,943 \% | (213,200) | \$ | (73,443) |
| LDC 37 | Building Equipment \$ | 527,135 | \$ 527.135 | \$ | 515,380 \% | $(14,755)$ | \$ | (14,755) |
| LDC 38 | Building Services <br> (Custodial Cleaning) | 1,230,272 | \$ 1,230,272 | \$ | 1,140,972 \$ | $(89,300)$ | \$ | (89,300) |
| LDC 39 | Maintenance Operations Support | 268880 | \$ 258.580 | \$ | 257,704 | (10,877) | \$ | (10,877) |
| LDC 93$\vdots$Total | Malntenance Training | 4. 47.973 | $\longdiv { 4 7 , 9 7 3 }$ | \$ | 30,897 | (17,076) | \$ | (17,076) |
|  |  | 4, 4,869,104 | \$ 4729346 | \$ | 4,526,896 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | (342,208) | \$ | (202,451) |
|  | Parts and Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Maintenance Stockroom and Supplies | 4,129106 | \$ 1,054,203 | \$ | 875,950 | (253,456) | \$ | (178,253) |
| Non Add | BDS Testing Consumables Only | 0 | \$ | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | Crand Totals | 5,998210 | \$ \$7,783,549 | \$ | 5,402,846 | $(595,364)$ |  | $(380,704)$ |

(11) 1 st PIR vs Pre AMP - Maintenance Savings:
(12) 1st PIR vs Proposed - Maintenance Savings: $\qquad$

|  | PIR Type*: 1 st PIR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Date Range of Data: | Oct-01-2011 |


(These numbers carried forward to the Executive Summary)
(These numbers carried forward to the Executive Summary)
(13) Notes: Pro AMP data wes callected from July ; 2009 - June 30, 2010.

## Distribution Changes

Last Saved: July 25, 2012
Losing Facility : Stockton P\&DC
PIR Type: $\qquad$ 1st PIR
Type of Distribution Consolidated: $\qquad$ Originating

Date Range of Data: $\qquad$ -- to -- Mar-31-2012

Place a "X" next to the DMM labeling list(s) revised as result of the approved AMP.
(1)

| DMM L001 |  | DMM L011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DMM L002 | X | DMM L201 |
| DMM L003 |  | DMM L601 |
| DMM L004 |  | DMM L602 |
| DMM L005 |  | DMM L603 |
| DMM L006 |  | DMM L604 |
| DMM L007 |  | DMM L605 |
| DMM L008 |  | DMM L606 |
| DMM L009 |  | DMM L607 |
| DMM L010 |  | DMM L801 |

Identify the date of the Postal Bulletin that contained DMM labeling list revisions.
(2) Postal Bulletin 22321 (10-6-11)

Was the Service Standard Directory updated for the approved AMP?
(3) Yes
(4) Drop Shipments for Destination Entry Discounts

FAST Appointment Summary Report

| Month | Losing / Gaining Facility | NASS Code | Facility Name | Total Schd | No-Show |  | Late Arrival |  | Open |  | Closed |  | Unschd Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% |  |
| Feb '12 | Losing Facility | 952 | STOCKTON P\&DC | 548 | 170 | 31.02\% | 140 | 25.55\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 378 | 68.98\% | 7 |
| Mar '12 | Losing Facility | 952 | STOCKTON P\&DC | 587 | 155 | 26.41\% | 183 | 31.18\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 432 | 73.59\% | 6 |
| Feb '12 | Gaining Facility | 956 | SACRAMENTO P\&DC | 777 | 281 | 36.16\% | 176 | 22.65\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 496 | 63.84\% | 40 |
| Mar '12 | Gaining Facility | 956 | SACRAMENTO P\&DC | 827 | 280 | 33.86\% | 203 | 24.55\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 547 | 66.14\% | 45 |

(5) Notes: $\qquad$

## Customer Service Issues

Last Saved: July 25, 2012
Losing Facility: Stockton P\&DC
5-DIgit ZIP Code: 95213
Data Extraction Date: 05/07/12

1. Collection Points

Number picked up before 1 p.m. Number picked up between $1-5$ p.m. Number picked up after $5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. Total Number of Collection Points

| 3-Digit ZIP Code: 952 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre AMP |  | PIR |  |
| Mon-Fis | Sat | Mon. - Fri. | Sat. |
| 43 | 105 | 45 | 108 |
| 272 | 125 | 267 | 120 |
| 8 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| 323 | 234 | 318 | 232 |
| signated f | "local d | \%"? | 0 |
| noved as | result of |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ouafterfy | Percent | Quarterfi Y | Percent |
| 012011 | $619 \%$ | Q1 2012 | 55.8\% |
| 02. 2011 | 75 5\% | Q2 2012 | 66.7\% |
| 63. 2011 | 742\% | Q3 2012 |  |
| 94204 | 725\% | Q4 2012 |  |

5. Retall Unit Inside Losing Facility (Window Service Times)

| Monday Tuesday | Pre AMP |  | Proposed |  | 18t PIR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Star | End. | Start | End | Start | End |
|  | 830 | 1700 | 830 | 17.00 | 8:30 | 17:00 |
|  | 930 | 17.00 | 8,30 | 1700 | 8:30 | 17:00 |
| Wednesday | 830 | 1700 | 8.30 | 17.00 | 8:30 | 17:00 |
| Thursday | 838 | 17.00 | 830 | $17 \times$ | 8:30 | 17.00 |
| Friday | 830 | 17:00 | 8.30 | 17:00 | $8: 30$ | 17:00 |
| \$aturday | 830 | 17.00 | 8,30 | 17.00 | 8:30 | 17:00 |


|  | Preamp |  | Proposed |  | 1st PIR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Start | End | Start | End | Start | End |
| Monday | 10.00 | 18.00 | 10.00 | 18,00 | 8:30 | 17:00 |
| Tuesday | 10.00 | 18.00 | 10.00 | 18:00 | 8:30 | 17:00 |
| Wednesday | 10.00 | 1800 | 10:00 | 1800 | 8:30 | 17:00 |
| Thursday | 10.00 | 1890 | 10.00 | 18:00 | $8: 30$ | 17:00 |
| Friday | 10.60 | 18.00 | 10.00 | 18:00 | 8:30 | 17:00 |
| Saturday | 1000 | 18.00 | 1000 | 18.00 | 8:30 | 17:00 |

7. Can customers obtain a local postmark in accordance with applicable policies in the Postal Operations Manua/?
8. Notes: $\qquad$
Gaining Facility: Sacramento P\&DC
9. What postmark is printed on collection mall?

## Space Evaluation and Other Costs

Last Saved: July 25, 2012


## Femote Encodmb canter Costing boo

Losing Facility: Stockton P\&DC
Gaining Facility: Sacramento P\&DC

| Pre-AMP: FY 2011 |  |  | Range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27 <br> Pritamp Astochated REC |  |  | (5) <br> Ist pir Cost per 1,000 Images |
| Letters | Sall are Cly | 32895 | Satt Lake Ciy | \$3257 |
| Flats | Salt Late Cily | 30330 | Satl Lake City | \$33.35 |
| Pars Coa | 3000 | 3000 | NA |  |
| PARS Redirects | 3000 | 8000 | N/A |  |
| APPS | spocs | speg | N/A |  |


| Product | Prid AIP Aksequiad TEC | 61 <br> PreAMp cost par 1000 image: | (9) <br> \{st PIR <br> Associated REC | (10) <br> 1st PRR Cost per 1,000 images |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Letters | Stathuxe Ciy | 52895 | Salt Lake City | \$32.57 |
| Flats | 54thatw CM | 53034 | Sall Lake Cly | \$33.35 |
| PARS COA | Stuturamecty | S17585 | Salit Lake City | $\$ 197.83$ |
| PARS Redirects | Sankukeciy | 33362 | Sall Lake Cily | \$32.51 |
| APPS | Salt fixe cily | \$3yt6 | Salt Lake Cly | \$34,31 |




[^0]:    Customer Service Considerations
    In total there were 248 service standard changes which included 218 service standard upgrades. EXFC
    Overnight service performance appears below:

[^1]:    One AFCSs moved from Stockton to Sacramento. The 3 that remain at Stockton are excess to the needs of the Sacramento District and the excessing procedure is not yet complete

